UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/798,650 | 03/11/2004 | Jianying Li | 140536 | 6325 |
| Patrick W. Raso | 7590 07/31/200 ehe | EXAMINER | | |
| Armstrong Teas | sdale LLP | MOTSINGER, SEAN T | | |
| Suite 2600 One Metropolita | an Square | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| St. Louis, MO 6 | | 2624 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/31/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/798,650 | LI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | | OE, III MOTORISER | 2024 |
|--|---|---|---|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address |
| THE REPL | Y FILED <u>10 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. |
| applic applic | eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on cation, applicant must timely file one of the following cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendinted Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cds: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| | he period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | |
| , <u>—</u> n | he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la xaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection. |
| Extensions on have been fill under 37 CF set forth in (b | IONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(if time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending the scalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solonove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months of the date of |
| filing | the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| (a) 🔀 | proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but they raise new issues that would require further con they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOา | |
| (c) | They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | |
| (d) | They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. |
| 4. | amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324) |
| | icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | impliant Americanient (1 10L-324). |
| 6. New | ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| | illowable claim(s). | | l be entered and an auniquetion of |
| how t The s | urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is provitatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: | | i be entered and an explanation of |
| | (s) objected to: (s) rejected: | | |
| Claim | i(s) rejected i(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| | OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | |
| becau | iffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| entere | iffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| | affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attached. |
| 11. 🛛 The | request for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowance because: |
| 12. | e the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (er: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| | n M Mehta/ ory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 2, 15, . contain aditional subject matter or alter the claim elements which as yet to be considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Li et al does not disclose "determining a set of threshold utilizing the projections". The examiner disagrees because it is not specified which word "utilizing" modifys i.e. if the thresholds are "utilize the projections" or the step of determing is "utilizing the projections". The thresholds certainly use projections and smother kernals tp produce smothed projections. Furthermore even in applicants interpretation if the claims was interpreted to read "determining, utilizing the projections, a set of thresholds" the claim would still not overcome the art because in the process of determing the thresholds based on the clinical trials the method would still be performed. For example when when the method of claim 1 is performed to study the "clinical images" for the purpose of determining a set of thresholds; this would still read on the claim element "determining, utilizing the projections, a set of thresholds." since the method would be performed in the process of selecting the thresholds when the "clinical images" are studied.